



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
GREENVILLE DIVISION

PAUL VERNON SMITH,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

HOUCHENS INDUSTRIES, d/b/a Sav-A-Lot,  
Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:06-3405-HFF-BHH

---

---

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE

---

---

This is an employment discrimination case. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Defendant's motion to dismiss be granted and the case be dismissed without prejudice. The Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on March 29, 2007. Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report.\* In the absence of objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985). In the alternative, even if the Court were to consider Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal as objections, the Court finds them to be without merit.

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that Defendants' motion to dismiss be **GRANTED** and the case be dismissed without prejudice. The Court finds Plaintiff's motion to produce to be **MOOT**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed this 18th day of September, 2007, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd  
HENRY F. FLOYD  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*\*

### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Plaintiff is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

---

\*Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal on April 10, 2007. The Fourth Circuit has dismissed the appeal.